REMARKS

In the Restriction Requirement dated July 15, 2008, the Examiner delineated the following inventions as being patentably distinct.

Group I:	Claims 2, 4-15 and 20-29, drawn to a liquid formulation comprising
	human growth hormone;

- Group II: Claims 3-15 and 20-29, drawn to a liquid formulation comprising growth hormone releasing hormone (GHRH); and
- Group III: Claim 16-17, drawn to a process for production of a liquid formulation comprising the step of preparing an aqueous solution of the components of (a) to (d).

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed July 15, 2008, Applicants elect <u>without traverse</u> Group I, Claims 1, 2, 4-15 and 20-29, drawn to a liquid formulation comprising human growth hormone. Further, Applicants reserve the right to file divisional applications on the non-elected subject matter if so desired, and be accorded the benefit of the filing date of the parent application.

Divisional applications filed thereafter should not be subject to double-patenting ground of rejection, 35 USC 121, *In re Joyce*, (Comr. Pats 1957) 115 USPQ 412.

Restriction is only proper if the claims of the restricted groups are independent or patentably distinct and there would be a serious burden placed on the Examiner if restriction is not required (M.P.E. P. § 803).

Applicants make no statement regarding the patentable distinction of the groups but note that for the restriction to be proper there must be patentable differences. Application No. 10/549,763 Response to Restriction Requirement

Applicants submit that the above-identified application is now in condition for

examination on the merits and an early notice of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 06/04) OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. Norman F. Oblon

1 T⁰ Killos

Registration No. 58,014